

① (i) Since  $B_G = \{d_\pi \Phi_{v_i, v_j, \pi} : \pi \in \text{Irr}(G), v_i, v_j \in B_\pi\}$  is an ONB for  $F(G, \mathbb{C})$ , we have that

$$\forall f \in F(G, \mathbb{C}), \quad f = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \sum_{i, j \in d_\pi} d_\pi \langle f, \Phi_{\pi, v_i, v_j} \rangle \Phi_{\pi, v_i, v_j}$$

and  $\sum_{g \in G} |f(g)|^2 = |G| \langle f, f \rangle$

$$= |G| \sum_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_\pi \sum_{i, j \in d_\pi} |\langle f, \Phi_{\pi, v_i, v_j} \rangle|^2 \quad (\text{by expanding } \langle f, f \rangle)$$

$$= |G| \sum_{\pi} d_\pi \sum_{i, j \in d_\pi} \left| \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \Phi_{\pi, v_i, v_j}(g) \right|^2$$

(by def of inner product  $\langle f, \Phi_{\pi, v_i, v_j} \rangle$ )

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi} d_\pi \sum_{i, j \in d_\pi} \left| \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \langle \pi(g) v_i, v_j \rangle \right|^2$$

(by def of matrix coeff  $\Phi_{\pi, v_i, v_j}$ )

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi} d_\pi \sum_{i, j \in d_\pi} |\langle \pi(f) v_i, v_j \rangle|^2$$

(by def of  $\pi(f)$ )

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi} d_\pi \sum_{i \in d_\pi} \|\pi(f) v_i\|^2$$

(since  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{d_\pi}\}$  ONB for  $V_\pi$ )



$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi} d_{\pi} \|\pi(f)\|_{HS}^2$$

(by def of HS norm).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) From } \textcircled{*}: f(g) &= \sum_{\pi} \sum_{i,j \leq d_{\pi}} d_{\pi} \langle f, \overline{\Phi_{v_i, v_j, \pi}} \rangle \langle \pi(g) v_i, v_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\pi} \sum_{i,j \leq d_{\pi}} d_{\pi} \langle \pi(g) v_i, v_j \rangle \sum_{g' \in G} \frac{1}{|G|} f(g') \langle \pi(g') v_i, v_j \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi} d_{\pi} \sum_{i,j} \langle \pi(g) v_i, v_j \rangle \langle \pi(f) v_i, v_j \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi} d_{\pi} \langle \pi(f), \pi(g) \rangle_{HS}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f_1 * f_2)(v) &= \sum_{g \in G} (f_1 * f_2)(g) \pi(g) v \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{g_1 g_2 = g} f_1(g_1) f_2(g_2) \right) \pi(g_1 g_2) v \\ &= \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in G} f_1(g_1) f_2(g_2) \pi(g_1 g_2)(v) \\ &= \sum_{g_1, g_2} f_1(g_1) f_2(g_2) \pi(g_1) (\pi(g_2) v) \end{aligned}$$



$$= \sum_{g_1 \in G} f_1(g_1) \pi(g_1) \left( \sum_{g_2 \in G} f_2(g_2) \pi(g_2(v)) \right)$$

$$= \pi(f_2) \circ \pi(f_2)(v).$$

Then  $\|\pi(f_1 * f_2)\|_{HS} = \|\pi(f_2) \circ \pi(f_2)\|$

$$\leq \|\pi(f_1)\|_{HS} \|\pi(f_2)\|_{HS},$$

where the inequality follows from standard linear algebra (norm of composition of operators acting on Hilbert spaces).

---

② a) let  $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \setminus B$ .

Hence  $c \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $u \times v \tilde{x}^{-2} w = u' \times v' \tilde{x}^{-2} w$ ,

for some  $u, v, w, u', v', w' \in N^*$ .

$$\Rightarrow (u'^{-2} u) \times v \tilde{x}^{-2} (w w'^{-2}) = v' \tilde{x}^{-2}.$$

Note that  $x \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{x}^{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} ad - cat - c & -ab + a^2 t + a \\ -ct^2 & -bc + act + a \end{pmatrix}$

Write  $u'^{-2} u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $ww'^{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,



$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we have 
$$\begin{pmatrix} ad - cat - c - \alpha ct^2 & * \\ -ct^2 & -bct + \alpha t - \beta ct^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\parallel \begin{pmatrix} ad - cat' - c & * \\ -ct'^2 & -bct' + \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence  $(t')^2 = t^2$

$$cat + \alpha ct^2 = cat'$$

$$cat - \beta ct^2 = cat'$$

It follows  $t = t'$ ,  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ .

ii) Suppose  $A \neq B$ , so there exists  $x \in A \setminus B$ .

Consider the map  $(N^* \cap A)^3 \longrightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$   
 $(u, v, w) \longmapsto u x v x^{-1} w$ .

This map is injective, and the image is contained in  $A^{(5)}$  (since  $x^{-1} \in A$  from symmetry of  $A$ ).

Hence  $|N^* \cap A|^3 \in |A^{(5)}|$ .

(iii) Note that the map  $N^* \times N^* \longrightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$   
 (for  $x \in SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \setminus B$ )  $(u, v) \longmapsto u x v x^{-1}$



is injective (similar to part (i)).

But if  $A = N \cup \{x, x^{-2}\}$ , image is contained in  $A^{(3)} x^{-2}$ .

$$|A| = p+2.$$

This shows  $(p-2)^2 = (|A|-3)^2 \leq |A^{(3)}|$ .

So  $|A^{(3)}| \geq C |A|^2$ , for some suitable  $C$ .

③ (i) Follows easily since  $x^2 \in K$  and  $K$  is a subgroup.

(ii) Let  $H = x^{-2} K x \cap K$ , which is a subgroup of  $K$ . From basic group theory,  
 $|K x K| = [K:H] |K| = C X$ .

(since  $K x K$  is the disjoint union of  $K x y$ , where  $y$  runs over cosets of  $H$  in  $K$ ).

Conclusion follows.

(iii) Note that  $x^2 = -I$ , and since  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $-1$  is a square modulo  $p$ .

First note  $|K| = \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ .



Note that  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a+b+2d & -2a+b+2d \\ a-b-d & 2a-b-d \end{pmatrix}$

If this belongs to  $K$ , then  $a-b-d=0$ .

In this case,  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -a+d \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$

If  $a$  is square mod  $p$ , and  $ad=1$ , then also  $d$  is square mod  $p$ .

Hence  $|K \cap x^2 K x| = p-1$ .

(iii) From previous two points,

$$|A^{(3)}| \leq (2+p) \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \leq \frac{p(p^2-1)}{2} = |SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)|$$

Also  $|A| = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} + 2$ , so  $|A^{(3)}| \leq C' |A|^{3/2}$ .

(4) We prove Theorem 6.1 assuming Theorems 6.2 & 6.3.

Let  $A$  a generating for  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  such that  $|A^{(3)}| \leq |A|^{1+\delta}$  (for some  $\delta$  sufficiently small).

Assume WLOG  $A = AUA^{-1}U \in \{e\}$   
(consider  $B = AUA^{-1}U \in \{e\}$  otherwise).



(Note that  $|A^{(2)}| \leq |A|^{1+\delta}$  can be rewritten as  
 $d(A^{(2)}, A^{-\epsilon}) = \log\left(\frac{|A^{(2)}|}{\sqrt{|A^{(1)}|}|A|}\right) \leq \delta \lg A$ .

Using Ruzsa  $\Delta$ -ing, one can show for example  
 $d(A^{(2)}, A) \leq d(A^{(1)}, A^{-\epsilon}) + d(A^{-\epsilon}, A) \leq 2\delta \lg A$ ,  
 which implies  $|A^{(2)} \cdot A^{-\epsilon}| \leq |A|^{2\delta}$ .

We have  $B^{(3)} = \bigcup_{\epsilon_i \in \{0, \pm 1\}} A^{\epsilon_1} A^{\epsilon_2} A^{\epsilon_3}$ , where  $A^0 := \{e\}$ .

Can bound each of them, also using

$$|A| \leq |A^{(2)}| \leq |A^{(3)}| \leq |A|^{1+\delta}$$

So one has  $|B| \leq 8 |A|^{1+2\delta} \leq 8 |B|^{1+2\delta}$ ,  
 (XLOG assumption is OK).

Since  $|A^{(3)}| \leq |A|^{1+\delta}$ , using Theorem 3.5 from notes,  
 it follows that  $A^{(3)}$  is a  $K$ -approx subgroup,  
 with  $K \leq 2 |A|^{5\delta}$ .

But now, from 6.2, we know that either

$$|A| \leq (2 |A|^{5\delta})^C$$

or  $|A| \geq |SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)| / (2 |A|^{5\delta})^C$ , for some absolute  $C$ .



In the first case, since  $|A| \leq |A^{(2)}| \leq 2^C |A|^{50C}$ ,

this can only hold for  $|A|$  of some finite size, and then can choose  $\delta$  accordingly.

In the second case, by Theorem 6.3, it follows that  $A^{(9)} = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .  $\square$ .

⑤ Proof is very similar to 6.9. Consider instead  $N_A = N \cap A$  and the map

$$N_A \times N_A \times N_A \longrightarrow A$$

$$(u, v, w) \mapsto u x v x^{-1} w,$$

for some  $g \in A \setminus B$ .

As in Exercise ②, this map will be (almost) injective.